

# Colour Charges and the Anti-Screening Contribution to the Interquark Potential

MARTIN LAVELLE<sup>1</sup> AND DAVID McMULLAN<sup>2</sup>

*School of Mathematics and Statistics  
The University of Plymouth  
Plymouth, PL4 8AA  
UK*

**Abstract:** Asymptotic freedom arises from the dominance of anti-screening over screening in non-abelian gauge theories. In this paper we will present a simple and physically appealing derivation of the anti-screening contribution to the interquark potential. Our method allows us to identify the dominant gluonic distribution around static quarks. Extensions are discussed.

---

<sup>1</sup>email: m.lavelle@plymouth.ac.uk

<sup>2</sup>email: d.mcmullan@plymouth.ac.uk

The discovery of asymptotic freedom in non-abelian gauge theories [1, 2] opened a route to unifying the quark model of hadron spectroscopy with the partonic description of high energy scattering. The QCD beta function at one loop in pure  $SU(N)$  has the form,  $\beta(g) = -11g^3/3(4\pi)^2$ , the overall sign being responsible for asymptotic freedom. This result can, in fact, be split up into two parts:

$$\beta(g) = -\frac{g^3}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ 4 - \frac{1}{3} \right], \quad (1)$$

where the larger term (4) is the contribution of anti-screening effects and the smaller (-1/3) term screens the colour charge. The dominance of the former effect is responsible for asymptotic freedom.

The beta function enters all physical quantities and this split has thus been recognised in many different contexts: using the background field method [3, 4, 5]; through a study of instantons [6]; and in a recent variational study of QCD [7]. The anti-screening contribution in (1) can in all cases be understood to result from the contribution of longitudinal gluons, which correspond to a generalised Coulombic interaction, while, separately gauge invariant, transverse gluons generate the lesser screening term [8].

The one-loop beta function enters the static interquark potential in the following way [9, 10]

$$V(r) = -\frac{g^2 C_F}{4\pi r} \left[ 1 + \frac{g^2 C_A}{4\pi 2\pi} \left( 4 - \frac{1}{3} \right) \log(\mu r) \right]. \quad (2)$$

This result being generally obtained via a one-loop perturbative calculation (nested inside a Wilson loop). For recent work on higher loop corrections to the potential we refer to Ref. [11].

In this letter we will demonstrate that the potential between quarks can be directly obtained once the structure of the gluonic clouds around static quarks is known [12]. The gluonic dressing has a rich structure and this calculation will identify the most significant component. We thus now briefly consider how glue dresses quarks.

A fundamental characteristic of gauge theories is that not all of the fields are physical. Gauss' law imposes the requirement of local gauge invariance on physical states and observables. After constructing such gauge invariant configurations, their physical significance needs to be understood. In a non-abelian gauge theory, colour can only be a well-defined quantum number on gauge invariant states [13]. This simple observation means that we are forced to identify coloured matter, such as quarks, with gauge invariant products of the form,  $h^{-1}(x)\psi(x)$ , where the dressing term must be constructed so as to transform as  $h^{-1}(x) \rightarrow h^{-1}(x)U(x)$  under the gauge transformation where the matter fields transform as  $\psi(x) \rightarrow U^{-1}(x)\psi(x)$ .

In previous papers we have constructed gauge invariant physical states corresponding to charged particles [12]. These have then been submitted to detailed tests [14, 15] which supported our identification. Using these fields we will now see that the calculation of the dominant contribution to the interquark potential becomes no more than a tree level calculation. This opens the way to a detailed analysis of how glue is distributed around quarks.

For the special case of a static<sup>3</sup> quark, the dressing must [15, 16] also satisfy:

---

<sup>3</sup>The general, relativistic case may be found elsewhere [15, 16]

$h^{-1}(x)\partial_0 h(x) = gA_0(x)$ . In the Abelian theory these two demands on the static dressings may be solved and one finds

$$h^{-1}(x) = \exp \left( ie \int_{-\infty}^{x_0} ds \frac{\partial^i F_{i0}}{\nabla^2}(s, \mathbf{x}) \right) \exp \left( -ie \frac{\partial_i A_i}{\nabla^2} \right), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial_i A_i}{\nabla^2}(x) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3 \mathbf{y} \frac{\partial_i A_i(x_0, \mathbf{y})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|}. \quad (4)$$

We note that the temporally non-local term is itself gauge invariant, while the other term soaks up the gauge dependence of the matter field. This latter part of the dressing was initially introduced by Dirac [17]. He motivated the choice of this particular dressing by noting that it generates the electric field appropriate to a static charge. (It is easy to show that this requirement is insensitive to the presence of the temporally non-local term in Eq. 3.)

These fields and the generalisation to arbitrary velocities have been tested in perturbation theory, where it has been shown that their on-shell Green's functions do not suffer from infra-red divergences [15]. We recall [18] that QED suffers from two physically distinct infra-red divergences: soft and phase divergences. These different aspects of the infra-red problem are solved by the appropriate generalisations to moving charges of the two different terms in the dressing (3). In particular the physically important soft divergences are eliminated by the gauge dependent and temporally local structure made up of longitudinal photons.

From our above discussion, we would expect that the QCD generalisation of this part of the dressing generates the dominant, anti-screening part of the potential which is responsible for asymptotic freedom. At lowest order in the coupling the QCD generalisation of this dressing structure is the same as in QED up to the obvious inclusion of colour<sup>4</sup>,  $h^{-1}(x) = 1 + g\partial_i A_i^a(x)T^a/\nabla^2$ , where, as argued above, we are setting to unity the gauge invariant term. There is a simple algorithm (see Appendix A of Ref. [12]) which generates the higher order terms in this part of the dressing, in such a way as to keep the dressed charge gauge invariant. At order  $g^3$  this yields

$$h^{-1}(x) = \exp(g\chi(x)) + O(g^4), \quad (5)$$

with  $\chi^a T^a = (\chi_1^a + g\chi_2^a + g^2\chi_3^a + \dots)T^a$  and

$$\chi_1^a = \frac{\partial_j A_j^a}{\nabla^2}, \quad \chi_2^a = f^{abc}\chi^{bc} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_3^a = f^{acb}f^{cef}\chi^{efb}, \quad (6)$$

where we have defined

$$\chi^{bc} = \frac{\partial_j}{\nabla^2} \left( \chi_1^b A_j^c + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_j \chi_1^b) \chi_1^c \right), \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{efb} = & \frac{\partial_j}{\nabla^2} \left( \chi^{ef} A_j^b + \frac{1}{2} A_j^e \chi_1^f \chi_1^b - \frac{1}{2} \chi^{ef} (\partial_j \chi_1^b) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_j \chi^{ef}) \chi_1^b - \frac{1}{6} (\partial_j \chi_1^e) \chi_1^f \chi_1^b \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

---

<sup>4</sup>We use anti-hermitian colour matrices. We thus have:  $\text{tr} T^a T^a = -\frac{1}{2}(N^2 - 1) = -NC_F$  and  $f_{abc}f_{abd} = N\delta_{cd} = C_A\delta_{cd}$ .

We will see below that this suffices to determine the anti-screening contribution to the potential at order  $g^4$ .

To now calculate the potential between such charges, we take a quark and an antiquark, both dressed according to Eq. 5, and study the expectation value of the QCD Hamiltonian. The potential is given by the dependence of the energy on the separation of the two quarks,  $r := |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}'|$ . The potential is thus given by the  $r$  dependent part of

$$V(r) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \langle \bar{\psi}(y) h(y) h^{-1}(y') \psi(y') | (E_i^{a2}(x) + B_i^{a2}(x)) | \bar{\psi}(y) h(y) h^{-1}(y') \psi(y') \rangle. \quad (9)$$

This reduces to

$$V(r) = -\text{tr} \int d^3x \langle 0 | [E_i^a(x), h^{-1}(y)] h(y) [E_i^a(x), h^{-1}(y')] h(y') | 0 \rangle, \quad (10)$$

where the trace is over colour and we have used the fact that  $B_i^a$  commutes with the fields in the dressings.

From (10) we obtain at order  $g^2$

$$V^{g^2}(r) = -g^2 \text{tr} \int d^3x \langle 0 | [E_i^a(x), \chi_1^d(y)] T^d T^b [E_i^a(x), \chi_1^b(y')] | 0 \rangle. \quad (11)$$

The commutators follow from the fundamental equal time commutator:  $[E_i^a(x), A_j^b(y)] = i\delta^{ab}\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ . From this we obtain

$$V^{g^2}(r) = -\frac{g^2 N C_F}{4\pi r}. \quad (12)$$

This we recognise as  $N$  times the standard result for the interquark potential [9, 10], which is a consequence of the colour singlet ‘mesonic’ states being necessarily summed over the colours of the dressed quarks. It is also, up to the colour factor, just the Coulomb potential appropriate to Electrodynamics.

We can now move on to the  $g^4$  term. From (10) we see that, essentially, we need to calculate the square of  $[E_i^a(x), h^{-1}(y)] h(y)$ . After taking the commutators this will yield terms quadratic in the vector potential. This can then, to this order in  $g$ , be evaluated from the free propagator<sup>5</sup>. The  $A_i$  fields can be split up into transverse,  $A_i^T$ , and longitudinal components. Only the former are gauge invariant and therefore only terms quadratic in  $A^T$  need to be retained in the calculation of the potential. Indeed we only keep terms quadratic in  $A$  and, by hand, replace these with transverse fields since gauge invariance dictates that only this combination can survive. This is a major simplification in the calculation: the vast majority of terms are gauge dependent and may be dropped (with some effort it may be checked that they cancel).

Expanding  $h^{-1}(y)$  we obtain

$$h^{-1}(y) = 1 + g\chi_1(y) + g^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\chi_1^2 + \chi_2\right) + g^3\left(\frac{1}{6}\chi_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}\chi_2\chi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\chi_1\chi_2 + \chi_3\right). \quad (13)$$

The relevant part of the equal time commutators in (10) is easily seen to be

$$[E_i^a(x), h^{-1}(y)] h(y) = g[E_i^a(x), \chi_1(y)] + g^2[E_i^a(x), \chi_2(y)] + g^3[E_i^a(x), \chi_3(y)], \quad (14)$$

---

<sup>5</sup>Since the fields are at the same time, there is no subtlety with time ordering

since *all* other terms are gauge dependent and so must cancel in the potential. In fact from (7) and (8) it is clear that some of the structures in the latter two commutators are also gauge dependent and may also be dropped. The terms in (14) which are gauge invariant to this order in the coupling may be easily found to be

$$[E_i^a(x), h^{-1}(y)]h(y) = \frac{ig}{4\pi} T^a \partial_i^x \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|} - \frac{ig^2 f_{abc}}{(4\pi)^2} T^c \int d^3 z \frac{1}{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}|} \left( \partial_j^z \partial_i^x \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|} \right) A_j^{bT}(z) \\ + \frac{ig^3 f_{abc} f_{daf}}{4\pi^3} T^c \int d^3 z \int d^3 w \frac{1}{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}|} \left( \partial_j^z \frac{1}{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{w}|} \right) \left( \partial_k^w \partial_i^x \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}|} \right) A_k^{fT}(w) A_j^{bT}(z). \quad (15)$$

We may now substitute this into (10). To order  $g^4$  there are three terms: the product of the two terms which are quadratic in the coupling and also the two multiples of the terms that are linear and cubic in  $g$ . In both cases the  $x$ -integral is trivial, as we may use integration by parts to combine the  $\partial_i^x$ 's and obtain terms of the form,  $\nabla_x^2(1/|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|) = -4\pi\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z})$ . Noting that the propagator is diagonal in colour,  $\langle A_i^a A_j^b \rangle = \delta^{ab} \langle A_i A_j \rangle$ , we find that all of the three terms in the product are identical and yield

$$V^{g^4}(r) = -\frac{3g^4 C_F C_A}{(4\pi)^3} \int d^3 z \int d^3 w \frac{1}{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{w}|} \left( \partial_j^z \frac{1}{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}|} \right) \left( \partial_k^w \frac{1}{|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}'|} \right) \langle A_k^T(w) A_j^T(z) \rangle. \quad (16)$$

We see that, as promised, the calculation of the next to leading order part of the potential has reduced to an integral over the tree-level propagator!

The gauge invariant part of the equal time, free propagator in co-ordinate space is

$$\langle A_k^T(w) A_j^T(z) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{(z - w)_j (z - w)_k}{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{w}|^4}. \quad (17)$$

Thus this part of the potential may be written as

$$V^{g^4}(r) = \frac{6\pi g^4 C_F C_A}{4} I(r), \quad (18)$$

where

$$I(r) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{d^3 z}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 w}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(w - y)_j (z - y')_k (z - w)_j (z - w)_k}{|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}|^3 |\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}'|^3 |\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{w}|^5}, \quad (19)$$

To evaluate this integral it is convenient to perform the shift,  $w \rightarrow w + z$ , followed by  $z \rightarrow z + y'$ . This ensures that the  $z$ -integral is finite. The denominators containing  $z$ 's may be combining using Feynman's trick and the integral can be read off standard tables. This gives the logarithmically divergent integral

$$I(r) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{3/2} \Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \int \frac{d^3 w}{(2\pi)^3} \left[ \frac{2\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{w}|^3 |\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{r}|^3} + \frac{(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{r})^2}{|\mathbf{w}|^5 |\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{r}|^3} \right]. \quad (20)$$

This may be evaluated directly, or, since we are only interested in the divergence, we can just study its behaviour in the region of the singularities. E.g., for the first integral in (20), which has a singularity at  $w \approx -r$ , we may shift  $w \rightarrow w - r$  to find

$$\int \frac{d^3 w}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{2\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{w}|^3 |\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{r}|^3} \rightarrow \int \frac{d^3 w}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{2(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{w}|^3 |\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{r}|^3} = -\frac{2}{r} \int \frac{d^3 w}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{w}|^3} + \text{finite terms}. \quad (21)$$

The divergence of this integral can be thus read off as  $\log(\mu r)/\pi^2 r$ , where  $\mu$  is a cutoff. Similarly it may be shown that the second integral in (20) yields  $-4 \log(\mu r)/6r\pi^2$ .

Putting everything together we obtain the final result for the  $g^4$  contribution to the interquark potential from that part of the dressing which is essential for gauge invariance

$$V^{g^4}(r) = -\frac{g^4}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{4N_C C_F C_A}{2\pi r} \log(\mu r). \quad (22)$$

This we recognise from (2) as the expected anti-screening contribution to the potential at next to leading order.

The above derivation of the dominant, anti-screening contribution to the potential is notable for its directness and simplicity. Let us now briefly discuss its consequences.

We have demonstrated that *the dressing structure (5) is indeed the most physically significant part of the glue around static quarks*. We note here the sensitivity [19] of this calculation to the form of the glue. An immediate task is to find the higher order anti-screening contributions to the potential that would follow from this minimally gauge invariant part of the dressing: at  $O(g^6)$  this requires a one-loop calculation. The non-local Coulombic interaction which this dressing structure generates and its implications for interquark forces deserve further study (see also Ref. [20]).

Where does the screening contribution come from? We have neglected the gauge invariant, temporally non-local part of the dressing (in QED it is clear that it commutes with the Hamiltonian) and its structure in QCD and role in the potential needs to be studied.

The dressing used here solely generates a chromo-electric field. Lattice calculations [21] indicate that, at least in the non-perturbative domain, a static quark-antiquark system also generates a chromo-magnetic field. The form of the gluonic dressing responsible for such physics needs to be investigated. The temporally non-local structure may produce this.

We should, however, also note Ref. [22] where it was argued that a gauge invariant dressing for a quark-antiquark ‘mesonic’ structure which was local in time yielded the full potential (2). Such structures and their interplay with the dressings resulting from our programme need further investigation. In particular it should be studied whether or not such a dressed ‘meson’ factorises into two of our separately gauge invariant quarks (at lowest order it does).

This factorisation into constituent charges must break down, as the potential is only Coulombic at short distances. At larger separations a linearly rising potential is expected and a mesonic flux tube structure should emerge. This is fully consistent with our construction of charges, since we have shown that there is a fundamental, topological obstruction to constructing gauge invariant quarks [12]. Such a breakdown of factorisation signals the boundaries of the applicability of quark models. The determination of the scale of the breakdown of factorisation thus presents a central challenge in QCD.

## References

- [1] D. J. Gross and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 1343 (1973).
- [2] H. D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 1346 (1973).
- [3] N. K. Nielsen and P. Olesen, Nucl. Phys. **B144**, 376 (1978).
- [4] R. J. Hughes, Phys. Lett. **97B**, 246 (1980).
- [5] R. J. Hughes, Nucl. Phys. **B186**, 376 (1981).
- [6] A. I. Vainshtein, V. I. Zakharov, V. A. Novikov, and M. A. Shifman, Sov. Phys. Usp. **24**, 195 (1982).
- [7] W. E. Brown and I. I. Kogan, *A Variational Approach to the QCD Wave Functional: Calculation of the QCD Beta Function*, (1997), hep-th/9705136.
- [8] W. E. Brown, *Equivalence of the Beta Function of the Variational Approach to that of QCD*, (1997), hep-th/9711189.
- [9] W. Fischler, Nucl. Phys. **B129**, 157 (1977).
- [10] T. Appelquist, M. Dine, and I. J. Muzinich, Phys. Lett. **69B**, 231 (1977).
- [11] M. Peter, Nucl. Phys. **B501**, 471 (1997), hep-ph/9702245.
- [12] M. Lavelle and D. McMullan, Phys. Rept. **279**, 1 (1997), hep-ph/9509344.
- [13] M. Lavelle and D. McMullan, Phys. Lett. **B371**, 83 (1996), hep-ph/9509343.
- [14] E. Bagan, M. Lavelle, and D. McMullan, Phys. Rev. **D56**, 3732 (1997), hep-th/9602083.
- [15] E. Bagan, M. Lavelle, and D. McMullan, Phys. Rev. **D57**, 4521 (1998), hep-th/9712080.
- [16] R. Horan, M. Lavelle, and D. McMullan, *Charges in Gauge Theories*, Plymouth preprint PLY-MS-48, (1998), submitted for publication.
- [17] P. A. M. Dirac, Can. J. Phys. **33**, 650 (1955).
- [18] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [19] P. Haagensen and K. Johnson, *On the Wave Functional for Two Heavy Color Sources in Yang-Mills Theory*, (1997), hep-th/9702204.
- [20] L. Chen and K. Haller, *Quark Confinement and Color Transparency in a Gauge Invariant Formulation of QCD*, (1998), hep-th/9803250.
- [21] A. M. Green, C. Michael, and P. S. Spencer, Phys. Rev. **D55**, 1216 (1997), hep-lat/9610011.
- [22] K. Cahill and D. R. Stump, Phys. Rev. **D20**, 540 (1979).